arXiv:1108.2179v1 [math.CO] 10 Aug 2011

A new short proof of the EKR theorem

Peter Frankl* and Zoltán Füredi†

Abstract

A family $\mathcal{F}$ is *intersecting* if $F \cap F' \neq \emptyset$ whenever $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$. Erdős, Ko, and Rado [6] showed that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} \tag{1}$$

holds for an intersecting family of k-subsets of $[n] := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$, $n \geq 2k$. For $n > 2k$ the only extremal family consists of all k-subsets containing a fixed element. Here a new proof is presented. It is even shorter than the classical proof of Katona [11] using cyclic permutations, or the one found by Daykin [2] applying the Kruskal-Katona theorem.

1 Definitions: shadows, b-intersecting families.

$\binom{X}{k}$ denotes the family of k-element subsets of X. For a family of sets $\mathcal{A}$ its *s-shadow* $\partial_s\mathcal{A}$ denotes the family of s-subsets of its members $\partial_s\mathcal{A} := \{S : |S| = s, \exists A \in \mathcal{A}, S \subseteq A\}$. E.g., $\partial_1\mathcal{A} = \cup\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of a-sets such that $|A \cap A'| \geq b \geq 0$ for all $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$. Katona [10] showed that then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq |\partial_{a-b}\mathcal{A}|. \tag{2}$$

We show that this inequality quickly implies the EKR theorem.

*Peter Frankl Office, Shibuya-ku, Shibuya 3–12–25, Tokyo, Japan. E-mail: peter.frankl@gmail.com

†Dept. of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. E-mail: z-furedi@illinois.edu

Research supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation OTKA, by the National Science Foundation under grant NFS DMS 09-01276, and by the European Research Council Advanced Investigators Grant 267195.

This copy was printed on August 11, 2011, frankl˙furedi˙short˙EKR˙2˙arXiv.tex, Version as of August 10, 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05D05, 05C65, 05C35.

Key Words: Erdős-Ko-Rado, intersecting hypergraphs, shadows, generalized characteristic vectors, multilinear polynomials.

2 The Proof

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ be intersecting. Define a partition $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{F \in \mathcal{F} : 1 \notin F\}$, $\mathcal{F}_1 := \{F \in \mathcal{F} : 1 \in F\}$ and define $\mathcal{G}_1 := \{F \setminus \{1\} : 1 \in F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Consider $\mathcal{F}_0$ as a family on $[2,n]$. Its complementary family $\mathcal{G}_0 := \{[2,n] \setminus F : F \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$ is $(n-1-k)$-uniform. The intersection property of $\mathcal{F}$ implies that $F_1 \setminus \{1\} = G_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1$ is not contained in any member of $[2,n] \setminus F_0 = G_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$. We obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_1 \cap \partial_{k-1}\mathcal{G}_0 = \emptyset.$$

The intersection size $|G \cap G'|$ of $G, G' \in \mathcal{G}_0$ is at least $n - 2k$

$$|G \cap G'| = |\,([2,n] \setminus F) \cap \big([2,n] \setminus F'\big)\,| = (n-1) - 2k + |F \cap F'|.$$

Then (2) gives (with $a = n-k-1$, $b = n-2k \geq 0$) that $|\mathcal{G}_0| \leq |\partial_{k-1}\mathcal{G}_0|$. Summarizing

$$|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{F}_1| + |\mathcal{F}_0| = |\mathcal{G}_1| + |\mathcal{G}_0| \leq |\mathcal{G}_1| + |\partial_{k-1}\mathcal{G}_0| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}. \quad \blacksquare \tag{3}$$

Extremal families. Equality holds in (2) if and only if $a = b$, or $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$, or $\mathcal{A} \equiv \binom{[2a-b]}{a}$. Thus, for $n > 2k$, equality in (3) implies either $\mathcal{G}_0 = \emptyset$ and $1 \in \cap\mathcal{F}$, or $\mathcal{G}_0 \equiv \binom{[2,n-1]}{n-1-k}$ and $n \in \cap\mathcal{F}$.

3 Two algebraic reformulations

Given two families of sets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, the *inclusion matrix* $I(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is a 0-1 matrix of dimension $|\mathcal{A}| \times |\mathcal{B}|$, its rows and columns are labeled by the members of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively, the element $I_{A,B} = 1$ if and only if $A \supseteq B$. In the case $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ the matrix $I(\mathcal{F}, \binom{[n]}{1})$ is the usual *incidence matrix* of $\mathcal{F}$, and $I(\mathcal{F}, \binom{[n]}{s})$ is the *generalized* incidence matrix of order s.

Suppose that L is a set of non-negative integers, $|L| = s$, and for any two distinct members A, A' of the family $\mathcal{A}$ one has $|A \cap A'| \in L$. Such a family is called *L-intersecting*. The Frankl-Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson [8, 13] theorem states that in the case of $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$, $s \leq k$ the row vectors of the generalized incidence matrix $I(\mathcal{A}, \binom{[n]}{s})$ are linearly independent. Here the rows are taken as real vectors (in [13]) or as vectors over certain finite fields (in [8]). Note that this statement generalizes (2) with $L = [k-1]$, $s = k-1$.

Matrices and the EKR theorem. Instead of using (2) one can prove directly (like in [8, 13]) that the row vectors of the inclusion matrix $I\left(G_0 \cup G_1, \binom{[2,n]}{k-1}\right)$ are linearly independent.

Linearly independent polynomials. One can define homogeneous, multilinear polynomials $p(F, \mathbf{x})$ of rank $k-1$ with variables $x_2, \ldots, x_n$

$$p(F,\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \sum\{x_S : S \subset [2,n] \setminus F,\ |S| = k-1\} & \text{for } 1 \notin F \in \mathcal{F}, \\ x_{F\setminus\{1\}} & \text{for } 1 \in F \in \mathcal{F}, \end{cases}$$

where $x_S := \prod_{i\in S} x_i$. To prove (1) one can show that these polynomials are linearly independent.

4 Remarks

The idea of considering the shadows of the complements (one of the main steps of Daykin's proof [2]) first appeared in Katona [10] (page 334) in 1964. He applied a more advanced version of his intersecting shadow theorem (2), namely an estimate using $\partial_{a-b+1}\mathcal{A}$.

Linear algebraic proofs are common in combinatorics, see the book [1]. For recent successes of the method concerning intersecting families see Dinur and Friedgut [4, 5]. There is a relatively short proof of the EKR theorem in [9] using linearly independent polynomials. In fact, our proof here can be considered as a greatly simplified version of that one.

Since the algebraic methods are frequently insensitive to the structure of the hypergraphs in question it is much easier to give an upper bound $\binom{n}{k-1}$ which holds for *all* n and k. To decrease this formula to $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ requires further insight. Our methods resembles those of Parekh [12] and Snevily [14] who succeeded to handle this for various related intersection problems.

Generalized incidence matrices proved to be extremely useful, see, e.g., the ingenious proof of Wilson [15] for another Frankl-Wilson theorem, namely the exact form of the classical Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem concerning the maximum size of a k-uniform, t-intersecting family on n vertices. They proved [7, 15] that the maximum size is exactly $\binom{n-t}{k-t}$ if and only if $n \geq (t+1)(k-t+1)$.

References

[1] L. BABAI and P. FRANKL, *Linear Algebra Methods in Combinatorics*. Dept. of Comp. Sci., The University

of Chicago, Chicago 1992.

[2] D. DAYKIN, Erdős-Ko-Rado from Kruskal-Katona. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **17** (1974), 254–255.

[3] M. DEZA and P. FRANKL, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem — 22 years later. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* **4** (1983), 419–431.

[4] IRIT DINUR and EHUD FRIEDGUT, Intersecting families are essentially contained in juntas. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **18** (2009), 107–122.

[5] IRIT DINUR and EHUD FRIEDGUT, On the measure of intersecting families, uniqueness and stability. *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), 503–528.

[6] P. ERDŐS, C. KO, and R. RADO, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **12** (1961), 313–320.

[7] P. FRANKL, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem is true for $n = ckt$. *Combinatorics* (Proc. Fifth Hungarian Colloq., Keszthely, 1976), Vol. I, pp. 365–375, *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, **18**, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1978.

[8] P. FRANKL and R. M. WILSON, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences. *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 357–368.

[9] Z. FÜREDI, KYUNG-WON HWANG, and P. M. WEICHSEL, A proof and generalizations of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem using the method of linearly independent polynomials. *Topics in discrete mathematics*, 215–224, Algorithms Combin., **26**, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[10] G. KATONA, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **15** (1964), 329–337.

[11] G. O. H. KATONA, A simple proof of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **13** (1972), 183–184.

[12] OJASH PAREKH, Forestation in hypergraphs: linear k-trees. *The Electronic J. of Combinatorics* **10** (2003), N12, 6 pages.

[13] RAY-CHAUDHURI and R. M. WILSON, On t-designs. *Osaka J. Math.* **12** (1975), 737–744.

[14] H. SNEVILY, A sharp bound for the number of sets that pairwise intersect at k positive values. *Combinatorica* **23** (2003), 527–533.

[15] R. M. WILSON, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. *Combinatorica* **4** (1984), 247–257.